On some features of the skewed families of max-stable processes

Boris Beranger¹, Clément Dombry², Simone Padoan³

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW, Australia

²Université de Franche Comté, France

³Bocconi University of Milan, Italy

CMStatistics 2016, Sevilla





Motivation

- What is the maximum value a variable is expected to reach across a region within the next 10 years? → SPATIAL EXTREMES
- Environmental extremes are spatial and often highly skewed



Figure: Tornado in Oklahoma, May 1999

Outline

- Max-stable processes
- Extremal dependence models
- Sampling from max-stables processes

Max-stable processes (1)

• X_1, X_2, \ldots , be i.i.d replicates of $X(s), s \in \mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\left\{\max_{i=1,\dots,n} \frac{X_i(s) - b_n(s)}{a_n(s)}\right\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \{Y(s)\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$$
(1)

for some continuous functions $a_n(s) > 0$ and $b_n(s)$.

• Y₀(s) be the limiting process with unit Fréchet margins

$$P\{Y_0(s_j) \le y(s_j), j \in I\} = \exp\{-V_0(y(s_j), j \in I)\}$$

where

$$V_0\{y(s_j), j \in I\} = d \int_{\mathcal{W}_d} \max_{j \in I} \left(\frac{w_j}{y(s_j)} \right) \mathrm{d}H(oldsymbol{w}).$$

Max-stable processes (2)

Theorem (Spectral representation) (e.g. Schlather, 2002) Let $\{R_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be the points of a Poisson process on \mathbb{R}^+ with intensity $\xi r^{-(\xi+1)}, \xi > 0$. Let $X^+ = \max_s(0, X(s)), \mu^+(s) = \mathbb{E}[\{X^+(s)\}^{\xi}] < \infty$ and $X_i^+, i = 1, 2, \ldots$ be i.i.d copies of X^+ . Then

$$Y(s) = \max_{i=1,2,\dots} \{R_i X_i^+(s)\} / \{\mu^+(s)\}^{1/\xi}, \quad s \in \mathcal{S},$$
(2)

is a max-stable process with ξ -Fréchet 1-d distributions.

The exponent function of (2) is

$$V\{y(s_j), j \in I\} = \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{j \in I} \left\{\frac{X^+(s_j)^{\xi}}{\mu^+(s_j)y(s_j)^{\xi}}\right\}\right].$$

Existing extremal dependence models

The most widely used models are:

- 1. Smith model (Smith, 1990) U_i point of PP on \mathbb{R}^d with positive intensity measure $\mu(du)$, $X_i(s) = \phi_d(s U_i; 0, \Sigma), s \in S$
- 2. Schlather model (Schlather, 2002) $\xi = 1$ and $X_i(s)$ are i.i.d. copies of a weakly stationary GP with isotropic correlation function $\rho(h)$
- 3. Brown-Resnick model (Kabluchko et al., 2009) $X_i(s) = \exp \{\epsilon_i(s) - \sigma^2(s)/2\}$ where ϵ is a GP with stationary increments and $\sigma^2(s) = \operatorname{Var}\{\epsilon(s)\};$
- 4. Extremal-*t* (Opitz, 2013) Schlather model but $\xi > 0$;

Drawbacks: None can deal with skewness, all stationary. Question: What type of extreme dependence structures do we get working with skew families?

New extremal dependence models (1)

Proposition: (Extremal Skew-t)(B., Padoan & Sisson, 2016) Consider the spect. rep. (2) where X(s) is a skew-normal process, then Y(s) is a max-stable process with exponent function

$$V\{y(s_j), j \in I\} = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{y(s_j)^{\xi}} \Psi_{d-1} \left[\{q_i, i \in I_j\}^{\top}; \bar{\Sigma}_j, \alpha_j^*, \tau_j^*, \nu + 1 \right],$$

where Ψ_{d-1} is a d-1-dimensional extended skew-t cdf.

- 1. X(s) is a not strictly stationary
- 2. Y(s) is a non-stationary max-stable process.
- 3. $\alpha(s) = 0, \forall s \in S, \Longrightarrow \mathsf{Extremal}{-t};$
- 4. $\alpha(s) = 0$ and $\nu = 1 \Longrightarrow$ Schlather;

New extremal dependence models (2)

Proposition: (Skew-Smith)(B., Dombry & Padoan, 2017) Consider the spect. rep. (2) where $X_i(s) = \phi_2(s - U_i; 0, \Sigma, \alpha), \quad s \in S = \mathbb{R}^2,$ then Y(s) is a max-stable process with exponent function $V\{y(s_1), y(s_2)\} = \frac{\Phi(d; \sigma, \alpha^*)}{y(s_1)} + \frac{\Phi(h_1 - d - d_1h_2; \sigma, -\alpha^*)}{y(s_2)},$ where $d \in \mathbb{R}$ is a function of $y(s_1), y(s_2), \mathbf{h}, \sigma$ and $\alpha,$ $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a function of \mathbf{h}, σ and α .

Proposition: (Extremal Skew-Normal)(B., Dombry & Padoan, 2017) Consider a skew-Normal process with appropriate $a_n(s)$ and $b_n(s)$, then, using (1), Y(s) is a max-stable process with exponent function

$$V\{x(s_j), j \in I\} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{x(s_j)} \Phi_{d-1} \left[\{q_i, i \in I_j\}^\top; \bar{\Delta}_j, \alpha_j^*, \tau_j^*, \tau_j \right],$$

where Φ_{d-1} is a d-1-dimensional extended skew-Normal cdf.

Unconditional simulations (1)

- Naive approach based on the limiting result (1):
 - Find the rescaling functions a_n and b_n ;
 - Compute the maxima for n large enough;
 - ▶ Drawbacks: slow convergence, requires VERY large *n*.
- Second approach using the spec. rep. result (2):
 - Assume $\{R_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ sorted in decreasing order;
 - ► X(s) is uniformly bounded $\implies \exists$ a finite number of elements that contribute to the componentwise maxima.
 - ► Need to introduce random translations if X is not stationary (e.g. Brown Resnick).

Unconditional simulations (2)



Simulation of the univariate and bivariate Skew-Smith model.

Unconditional simulations (3)



Bivariate Extremal skew-t (top) and skew-normal (bottom) model.

Conditional simulations (1)

Prediction problem: Obs to predict process behaviour at unobs locations?

$$\implies$$
 Goal: Sample from $Y(s)|\{Y(s_1) = y_1, \dots, Y(s_k) = y_k\}$

• Define $\Phi = \{\phi_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the PPP on $\mathbb{C}^+(S)$, with $\phi_i(s) = R_i X_i(s)$ and intensity measure

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{s}}(A) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{rY(\mathbf{s}) \in A\} r^{-2} \mathrm{d}r = \int_A \lambda_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{v}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v},$$

for all Borel sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

- Φ can be decomposed into 2 sets of functions:
 - Extremal: $\Phi^+ = \bigcup_{j=1}^k \{ \phi \in \Phi : \phi(s_j) = y_j \};$
 - Sub-extremal $\Phi^- = \{ \phi \in \Phi : \phi(s_j) < y_j; j = 1, \dots, k \};$
 - $\Phi^+ = \{\phi^+_1, \dots, \phi^+_l; l = 1, \dots, k\};$
 - $\Phi^- = \Phi \setminus \Phi^+;$
 - Denote by $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l) \in \mathcal{P}_k$ a partition of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$;

Conditional simulations (2)

Dombry et al. (2013) introduce a three-step procedure

Step 1. Sample a random partition $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ from

$$\mathbb{P}\{p = \tau | Y(\mathbf{s}) = \boldsymbol{y}\}, \quad (\text{requires } \lambda_{\mathbf{s}})$$

Step 2. Given $p = \tau$, sample $\phi_1^+(s), \ldots, \phi_l^+(s)$ independently from

$$\mathbb{P}\{\phi_j^+ \in \mathsf{d}f | Y(\mathbf{s}) = \boldsymbol{y}; p = \tau\}, \quad (\text{requires } \lambda_{\mathsf{t}|\mathbf{s},\mathsf{v}})$$

and define $Y^+ = \max_j \phi_j^+(s)$.

Step 3. Sample a PPP $\{R_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ and $\{X_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ as in (2) and define

 $Y^{-} = \max_{i \ge 1} R_i X_i(s) \mathbb{I}_{\{R_i X_i(s) < y\}}.$

 $\implies \max(Y^+, Y^-)$ follows cond. dist of $Y(s)|\{Y(\mathbf{s}) = y\}.$

Conditional simulations (3)



Conditional simulations (3)



Conditional simulations (3)



Conditional simulations for the Extremal Skew-t



- The conditional intensity function is also available its expression is a little messy...
- If $\alpha_{\mathbf{s}} = 0 \Longrightarrow$ Extremal-*t* (Ribatet, 2013).

Good news!!! The model is complex but (conditional) simulation is feasible.

Brief Conclusion

Main results:

- Various new skewed models: skew-Smith, extremal skew-Normal, extremal Skew-*t*;
- Conditional simulation for the extremal skew-t.

Future works:

- Extension to Skew-elliptical models;
- Link between models;
- Conditional simulation for skew-Smith model?

Many thanks for your attention!